

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Tetrahedron Letters

Tetrahedron Letters 49 (2008) 3931–3934

Highly selective catalytic Friedel–Crafts sulfonylation of aromatic compounds using a FeCl₃-based ionic liquid

Kiumars Bahrami *, Mohammad Mehdi Khodei *, Fomeida Shahbazi

Department of Chemistry, Razi University, Kermanshah 67149, Iran

Nanoscience and Nanotechnology Research Center (NNRC), Razi University, Kermanshah 67149, Iran

Received 20 February 2008; revised 21 March 2008; accepted 9 April 2008 Available online 12 April 2008

Abstract

Friedel–Crafts sulfonylation of aromatic compounds was carried out using FeCl₃-based ionic liquid. These liquids serve as efficient media as well as Lewis acid catalyst.

 $© 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.$

Keywords: Friedel–Crafts sulfonylation; Lewis acid; Ionic liquid; Aromatic compounds

1. Introduction

The wide use of organosulfones as versatile synthons in organic synthesis is well known.^{[1](#page-3-0)} Organosulfones are useful intermediates in a wide range of fields such as agrochemi-cals,^{[2](#page-3-0)} pharmaceuticals^{[3,4](#page-3-0)} and polymers.^{[5](#page-3-0)} The most practical laboratory method for preparing aryl sulfones is via the Friedel–Crafts sulfonylation of arenes using sulfonyl chlorides.^{[6,7](#page-3-0)} Catalysts such as AlCl₃ and SbF₅,^{[8](#page-3-0)} BiCl₃/ triflic acid,^{[9](#page-3-0)} metal-exchanged K-[10](#page-3-0) Montmorillonites,¹⁰ $Sn(OTf)₂$,^{[11](#page-3-0)} In(OTf)₃,^{[12](#page-3-0)} Nafion-H,^{[13](#page-3-0)} Zn-exchanged zeo-lites^{[14](#page-3-0)} and InCl₃/triflic acid^{[15](#page-3-0)} have been used to promote Friedel–Crafts reactions.

A large number of these catalysts suffer from drawbacks such as difficult handling, harsh reaction conditions, tedious work-up, long reaction times, a need for large amounts of catalyst, lower selectivity for para/ortho sulfonylated isomers, incompatibility with other functional groups and generation of environmentally hazardous waste materials, as a result of aqueous extraction procedures. Also, some of these catalysts are expensive and are not commercially available. Therefore, there is still a considerable interest in investigating other convenient and chemoselective synthetic methods for the sulfonylation of aromatic compounds without using organic solvents, in terms of economic benefit, environmental impact and safety.

The design of solvent-free reactions and the use of ionic liquids in organic synthesis have gained significant inter-est.^{[16](#page-3-0)} Some of the unique physical and chemical properties

Scheme 1.

Corresponding authors. Fax: $+98$ 831 4274559 (K.B.).

E-mail addresses: kbahrami2@hotmail.com (K. Bahrami), mmkhoda@razi.ac.ir (M. M. Khodei).

0040-4039/\$ - see front matter © 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2008.04.051

Table 1 Effect of increasing the amount of $FeCl₃$ on the sulfonylation reaction of bromobenzene, using [BTBA]Cl–FeCl3 ionic liquid^a

[BTBA]CI/[FeCl ₃]	Mole fraction of $FeCl3(N)$	Yield \mathfrak{b} (%)	
1:0.1	0.09	30	
1:0.3	0.23	40	
1:0.5	0.33	60	
1:0.7	0.41	50	
1:1	0.5	90	

^a Reaction conditions: The reactions were performed with 4-methylbenzenesulfonyl chloride (1 mmol), bromobenzene (1 mmol) and ionic liquid (1 mmol) for 5 min, at 60 °C.
^b Isolated yield.

Table 2

Table 3

Sulfonylation of bromobenzene with 4-methylbenzenesulfonyl chloride in the presence of several Lewis acids in [BTBA]Cl–Lewis acid, $N = 0.5$

Entry	[BTBA]Cl-Lewis acid	Time (min)	Yield ^a $(\%$
	$[BTBA]Cl-ZrCl_4$		Trace
	$[BTBA]Cl-ZnCl2$		Trace
	$[BTBA]Cl-AlCl3$		30
	$[BTBA]Cl-FeCl3$		90

^a Isolated yields.

of ionic liquids such as thermal stability, negligible vapour pressure, ability to dissolve a large range of organic and inorganic compounds, increased reaction rate, selectivity and tendency to immobilize starting materials and catalysts make them an attractive substitute for various volatile organic solvents.

Many organic transformations have been carried out in ionic liquids, for example, hydrogenation, $17,18$ oxidation, 19 epoxidation^{[20](#page-3-0)} and hydroformylation^{[21](#page-3-0)} reactions.

In continuation of our recent work on sulfonylation reactions, 22 we report a FeCl₃-based ionic liquid as an effective and a highly chemoselective catalyst for the Friedel–Crafts sulfonylation of aromatic compounds under mild reaction conditions [\(Scheme 1\)](#page-0-0).

To study the effect of increasing the amount of the Lewis acid in the ionic liquid, the sulfonylation of bromobenzene as a model substrate, with 4-methylbenzenesulfonyl chloride was carried out in benzyl tributylammonium chloride (BTBAC) at 60 \degree C. The best result based on yield and time of the reaction was obtained with $[BTBA]Cl-FeCl₃,²³$ $[BTBA]Cl-FeCl₃,²³$ $[BTBA]Cl-FeCl₃,²³$ $N = 0.5$ (Table 1).

We also investigated the sulfonylation of bromobenzene with 4-methyl benzenesulfonyl chloride in the presence of

Sulfonylation of aromatic compounds using [BTBA]Cl–FeCl₃ ionic liquid ($N = 0.5$), with benzenesulfonyl chloride and 4-methylbenzenesulfonyl chloride

Entry	${\bf Substrate}$	${\bf R}$	Time (min)	$\mathbf{Product}^\mathbf{a}$	Yield ^b (%) [$o.m.p$]
$\,1\,$	Me Me- Me	${\rm Me}$	$\mathbf{1}$	Me $\Omega_{\rm II}$ -Me Me- 뷰 $\ddot{\mathrm{o}}$ Me	96
$\sqrt{2}$	Me Me- Me	$\boldsymbol{\mathrm{H}}$	$<\!1$	Me Ω Me- $\ddot{\mathrm{o}}$ Me	94
$\ensuremath{\mathfrak{Z}}$	Me Me-	${\rm Me}$	$\,1\,$	$^{0}_{0}$ -Me Me- $\frac{1}{0}$ Me	97
$\overline{4}$	Me Me-	$\boldsymbol{\mathrm{H}}$	$\,1\,$	$\frac{1}{8}$ o Me Me	95
$\sqrt{5}$	-Me Me-	${\rm Me}$	$\,1\,$	Me Ω -Me \overline{O} Me	94
6	-Me Me-	$\boldsymbol{\mathrm{H}}$	$\mathbf{1}$	Me $-8 - 8 - 8 - 0$ Me	93
$\boldsymbol{7}$	Me Me-	${\bf Me}$	$\,1\,$	Me $\Omega_{\rm II}$ Me ⁻ Me \overline{O}	93 [5:0:95]

$1000 \times (1000000)$ Entry	Substrate	${\bf R}$	Time (min)	$\mathop{\text{Product}}\nolimits^{\text{a}}$	Yield ^b (%) $[o:m:p]$
$\,$ 8 $\,$	Me Me-	$\, {\rm H}$	$\mathbf{1}$	Me Me	91 [5:0:95]
$\boldsymbol{9}$	Me·	${\bf Me}$	$\sqrt{2}$	Ω $\frac{0}{3}$ -Me Me Ω	90 [5:0:95]
$10\,$	Me-	$\, {\rm H}$	\overline{c}	Me Ω	92 [5:0:95]
11	MeO-	${\bf Me}$	$\,1$	MeO -Me Ω	95 [15:0:85]
12 	MeO	$\, {\rm H}$	$\mathbf{1}$	MeO Ω	93 [13:0:87]
13		${\bf Me}$	\mathfrak{Z}	-Me Ω	$\mathbf{92}$
14		$\, {\rm H}$	\mathfrak{Z}	\overline{O}	93
15	Br	${\bf M}{\bf e}$	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	Br Me ö	90 [5:0:95]
16	Br	$\, {\rm H}$	$\overline{4}$	Br	90 [5:0:95]
17	Cl ₁	${\bf M}{\bf e}$	5	Cl Me Ω	91 [5:0:95]
18	Cl	$\, {\rm H}$	5	Cl \mathbf{O}	90 [5:0:95]
19		${\bf Me}$	\mathfrak{Z}	Me	91 $\left[\alpha=8,\beta=92\right]^c$
20		$\, {\rm H}$	\mathfrak{Z}		90 $\left[\alpha=6,\,\beta=94\right]^c$
21	$-Me$ Me-	$\rm NO_2$	$60\,$	No reaction	

Table 3 (continued)

 a The products were characterized by comparison of their spectroscopic data with those reported in the literature.^{24–27}

 $\rm c$ Isomers distribution based on $\rm ^1H$ NMR.

several Lewis acids in [BTBA]Cl–Lewis acid, $N = 0.5$. The results showed that only FeCl₃ was effective ([Table 2\)](#page-1-0).

To extend the scope of the procedure, we investigated the reactions of a series of aromatic hydrocarbons with p-toluenesulfonyl chloride and benzenesulfonyl chloride under the optimized reaction conditions ([Table 3\)](#page-1-0). It was observed that the presence of electron-donating groups such as alkyl and OMe on the aromatic compound gave

b Isolated yields.

Table 4

Comparison of the sulfonylation of methoxybenzene using [BTBA]Cl– FeCl3 with methods reported in the literature

Conditions	Yield $(\%)$ [o:m:p]
[BTBA]Cl-FeCl ₃ /4-MePhSO ₂ Cl/60 °C/1 min	95 [3:0:97]
[BTBA]Cl-FeCl ₃ /PhSO ₂ Cl/60 °C/1 min	93 [3:0:97]
Crosslinked polystyrene supported $AICI_3$ (Ps- $AICI_3$)/4- MePhSO ₂ Cl/85 °C/1.1 h ²⁸	92 [9:3:88]
$BiCI3-TfOH/PhSO2Cl/120 °C/0.5 h29$	82 [47:0:53]
Indium metal/4-MePhSO ₂ Cl/dioxane/100 $^{\circ}C^{30}$	76
Fe-pillared bentonite (Fe-PILC)/4-MePhSO ₂ Cl/120 °C/	84
20 min^{27}	[35.2:0:64.8]
[bmim]Cl·FeCl ₃ /4-MePhSO ₂ Cl/50 °C/5 h ³¹	84 [43:0:57]

excellent yields of the products (entries 10 and 11). Also, deactivated arenes such as chlorobenzene and bromobenzene underwent sulfonylation in excellent yields (entries 16 and 17). Further, an improvement in the regioselectivity was observed in the sulfonylation of naphthalene with benzenesulfonyl chloride and 4-methylbenzenesulfonyl chloride (entries 19 and 20). 4-Nitrobenzenesulfonyl chloride failed to give the sulfonylated product (entry 21). As shown in [Table 3,](#page-1-0) a significant enhancement of para selectivity was observed in each case.

To access the feasibility of applying this method in a preparative scale, we carried out the sulfonylation of mesitylene with benzenesulfonyl chloride in 40 mmol scale. As expected, the reaction proceeded smoothly, similar to the case in a smaller scale ([Table 3,](#page-1-0) entry 2), and the desired aryl sulfone was obtained in 96% isolated yield.

In order to show the efficiency of this method, the results of the sulfonylation of methoxybenzene with sulfonylating agents using our method are compared with those reported by other methods. The results show that this method is superior to some previously reported methods in terms of yields, reaction times and the amount of the reagent used for successful sulfonylation (Table 4).

In conclusion, the best results are realized and the utility of the FeCl3-based ionic liquid both as solvent and catalyst is satisfactorily justified. The advantages of this methodology using a FeCl₃-based ionic liquid are mild reaction conditions, excellent yields, short reaction times, simple work-up procedure, low cost and easy preparation and handling of the catalyst.

2. General procedure for sulfonylation

To [BTBA]Cl–FeCl₃ ($N = 0.5$, 1 mmol), p-toluenesulfonyl chloride or benzenesulfonyl chloride (1 mmol) and aromatic compound (1 mmol) were added. The reaction mixture was stirred magnetically, at 60° C. After complete conversion, as indicated by TLC, the reaction mixture was quenched by adding water (10 mL), extracted with diethyl ether $(4 \times 10 \text{ mL})$ and the extract dried with anhydrous MgSO4. The filtrate was evaporated and the corresponding sulfone was obtained in excellent yield [\(Table 3\)](#page-1-0).

Acknowledgement

The authors are thankful to the Razi University Research Council for financial support for this research.

References and notes

- 1. (a) Tanaka, K.; Kaji, A. Synthetic Uses of Sulfones. In Synthetic Uses of Sulfones in The Chemistry of Sulphones and Sulphoxides; Patai, S., Rappoport, Z., Stirling, C. J. M., Eds.; Wiley Interscience: New York, 1988; p 759; (b) Trost, B. M. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1988, 61, 107; (c) Field, L. Synthesis 1978, 713.
- 2. Michaely, W. J.; Kraatz, G. W. U.S. Patent 4,780,127, 1988 (CAN: 1989, 111, P 129017a).
- 3. Padwa, A.; Bullock, W. H.; Dyszlewski, A. D. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 955.
- 4. Block, E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1992, 31, 1135.
- 5. Mackinnon, S. M.; Wang, J. Y. Macromolecules 1998, 31, 7970.
- 6. Jensen, R.; Goldman, G. In Friedel–Crafts and Related Reactions; Olah, G., Ed.; Wiley Interscience: New York, 1964; Vol. III, p 1319.
- 7. Simpkins, N. S. Sulfones in Organic Synthesis; Pergamon Press: Oxford, 1993.
- 8. Olah, G. A.; Kobayashi, S.; Nishimura, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 564.
- 9. Repichet, S.; Roux, C. L.; Dubac, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 9233.
- 10. Choudhary, B. M.; Chowdari, N. S.; Kantam, M. L. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 2000, 2689.
- 11. Singh, R. P.; Kamble, R. M.; Chanda, K. L.; Saravanan, P.; Singh, V. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 57, 241.
- 12. Frost, C. G.; Hartley, J. P.; Whittle, A. J. Synlett 2001, 830.
- 13. Olah, G. A.; Mathew, T.; Prakash, G. K. Chem. Commun. 2001, 17, 1696.
- 14. Laidlaw, P.; Bethell, D.; Brown, S. M.; Watson, G.; Willock, D. J.; Hutchings, G. J. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2002, 178, 205.
- 15. Garzya, V.; Forbes, I. T.; Lauru, S.; Maragni, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 1499.
- 16. (a) Wasserscheid, P.; Welton, T. Ionic Liquids in Synthesis; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2003; (b) Welton, T. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2004, 248, 2459; (c) Wasserscheid, P.; Keim, W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 3772; (d) Jain, N.; Kumar, A.; Chauhan, S.; Chauhan, S. M. S. Tetrahedron 2005, 61, 1015.
- 17. Adams, C. J.; Earle, M. J.; Seddon, K. R. Chem. Commun. 1999, 1043.
- 18. Chauvin, Y.; Mussmann, L.; Olivier, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1995, 34, 2698.
- 19. Howarth, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 6627.
- 20. Owens, G. S.; Abu-Omar, M. M. Chem. Commun. 2000, 1165.
- 21. Brasse, C. C.; Englert, U.; Salzer, A.; Waffenschmidt, H.; Wasserscheid, P. Organometallics 2000, 19, 3818.
- 22. Alizadeh, A. A.; Khodaei, M. M.; Nazari, E. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 6805.
- 23. The $[BTBA]Cl-FeCl₃$ ionic liquid was prepared by grinding of benzyl tributyl ammonium chloride (311.94 mg, 1 mmol) with $FeCl₃$ (162.2) mg, 1 mmol) for 2 min; $mp = 57-59$ °C.
- 24. Graybill, B. M. J. Org. Chem. 1967, 32, 2931.
- 25. Jin, T.; Yang, M.; Feng, G.; Li, T. J. Chem. Res. (S) 2003, 721.
- 26. Singh, R. P.; Kamble, R. M.; Chandra, K. L.; Saravanan, P.; Singh, V. K. Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 241.
- 27. Singh, D. U.; Singh, P. R.; Samant, S. D. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 9079.
- 28. Borujeni, K. P.; Tamami, B. Catal. Commun. 2007, 8, 1191.
- 29. Répichet, S.; Roux, C. L.; Dubac, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 9233.
- 30. Jang, D. O.; Moon, K. S.; Cho, D. H.; Kim, J.-G. Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 6063.
- 31. Alexander, M. V.; Khandekar, A. C.; Samant, S. D. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2004, 223, 75.